Volume 1 Number 2 (2026)
May 2026
Page: 180-194

JOURNAL OF Legal Theory and Society
https://journals.usm.ac.id/index.php/jlts

Digital Cooperatives as a Modern Business Model: A Legal Analysis of Their Opportunities and Challenges

Wahyuni Safitri[1], Yousef Hasan Tofa[2]

[1] Universitas Widya Gama Mahakam Samarinda, Indonesia; wahyunibun@gmail.com
[2] Suez Canal University, Egypt; yousef.hasan@gmail.com

Abstract

Digital transformation has accelerated the emergence of digital cooperatives as a modern business model that combines cooperative principles with technology-driven governance and commercial activities. This study examines the legal opportunities and regulatory challenges associated with digital cooperatives and evaluates the adequacy of existing legal frameworks in supporting their sustainable development. The research employs doctrinal legal research using statutory, conceptual, and comparative legal approaches. Primary legal materials consist of legislation governing cooperatives, electronic transactions, digital governance, and related regulatory instruments, while secondary legal materials include scholarly publications and legal commentaries. The analysis demonstrates that current cooperative legislation remains predominantly oriented toward conventional organizational structures and has yet to comprehensively regulate digital membership, electronic governance, virtual assemblies, blockchain applications, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, personal data protection, and digital financing mechanisms. These regulatory gaps create legal uncertainty affecting institutional accountability, member protection, and technological innovation. Comparative legal analysis indicates that adaptive, technology-neutral regulatory frameworks provide greater legal certainty while preserving democratic governance and cooperative values. The study proposes comprehensive legislative reform integrating cooperative law with digital economy regulation, electronic governance standards, cybersecurity obligations, and personal data protection. Such regulatory integration strengthens institutional resilience, promotes responsible innovation, enhances public confidence, and supports sustainable digital cooperative development. A coherent legal framework ultimately positions digital cooperatives as competitive, transparent, accountable, and inclusive business institutions capable of contributing to long-term economic growth in the digital economy.

ARTICLE INFORMATION
Submitted:
Revised:
Accepted:
Published:

Keywords: Digital cooperatives; cooperative law; digital governance; legal reform; digital economy.

Corresponding Author
Wahyuni Safitri
Universitas Widya Gama Mahakam Samarinda, Indonesia; wahyunibun@gmail.com

Volume 1 Number 2 (2026)
May 2026
Page: 180-194

INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of economic activities has fundamentally altered the structure of business organizations across many jurisdictions. Technological innovation has accelerated the emergence of digital platforms capable of integrating production, distribution, financing, and consumer interaction into a unified ecosystem. Cooperative enterprises have also entered this transformation by adopting digital technologies that enhance operational efficiency, expand market access, and strengthen member participation. Digital cooperatives represent an evolving institutional model that combines cooperative values with advanced technological infrastructure. [1] Their rapid development raises important legal questions concerning governance, accountability, and regulatory adaptation.

Cooperatives have traditionally functioned as organizations established to promote collective welfare through democratic ownership and member participation. Economic globalization and technological advancement have encouraged many cooperatives to modernize their operational structures without abandoning their foundational principles. Digital platforms enable members to communicate, vote, invest, and conduct transactions through integrated electronic systems. Such innovations increase organizational flexibility while introducing complex legal relationships involving electronic contracts, digital identities, and automated decision-making.[2] Existing cooperative legislation often provides limited guidance for these emerging institutional arrangements.

Digital cooperatives increasingly compete with investor-owned digital platforms that dominate sectors such as transportation, agriculture, finance, and electronic commerce. Unlike conventional platform companies, digital cooperatives prioritize equitable benefit distribution and democratic governance rather than shareholder value maximization. This institutional distinction offers significant potential for creating a more inclusive digital economy. Nevertheless, legal uncertainty continues to affect organizational legitimacy, investment mechanisms, member rights, and dispute resolution.[3] Regulatory frameworks frequently remain oriented toward conventional cooperative structures rather than digitally integrated business models.

The expansion of digital business ecosystems has generated renewed scholarly interest regarding the compatibility between cooperative principles and emerging technologies. Blockchain, artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and smart contracts have introduced innovative governance mechanisms capable of supporting transparent and decentralized

---

[1] Achmad Barlian, Iwan Irwansyah, and Irawati Irawati, "From Governance to Digital Transformation: A Networked Approach to Cooperative Sustainability," *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 2026, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-026-03344-5.

[2] Tomy Wijaya and Fatimah Alauwiyah, "Digital Transformation and Governance Reform in Indonesian Cooperatives: A Systematic Literature Review Analysis toward Vision 2045," *Journal of Mathematics Instruction, Social Research and Opinion* 5, no. 2 (2026), https://doi.org/10.58421/misro.v5i2.1225.

[3] David Rozas, Antonio Tenorio-Fornés, and Samer Hassan, "Analysis of the Potentials of Blockchain for the Governance of Global Digital Commons," *Frontiers in Blockchain* 4 (2021): 577680, https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.577680.

organizational management. These technologies improve operational efficiency while simultaneously creating legal challenges concerning liability allocation, cybersecurity, consumer protection, and regulatory oversight. Legislators face increasing pressure to establish legal standards capable of balancing technological innovation with institutional accountability.

National legal systems demonstrate varying degrees of readiness to accommodate digital cooperatives. Several jurisdictions have introduced regulatory reforms supporting digital entrepreneurship, electronic transactions, and platform governance. Cooperative legislation, however, frequently retains conventional assumptions concerning physical meetings, manual documentation, and geographically limited membership. Such provisions may reduce institutional flexibility and discourage digital innovation.[4] Regulatory modernization therefore becomes essential for ensuring legal certainty while preserving the cooperative identity established through internationally recognized principles.

Corporate governance occupies a central position in discussions concerning digital cooperatives because technological innovation substantially changes organizational decision-making processes. Digital voting systems, virtual member assemblies, algorithm-assisted management, and electronic financial reporting require comprehensive legal safeguards. Transparency, accountability, fairness, and member participation remain essential components of cooperative governance despite technological transformation.[5] Legal frameworks must ensure that digital governance mechanisms strengthen democratic control rather than concentrate authority through technological asymmetries or platform administrators.

Economic opportunities associated with digital cooperatives extend beyond commercial performance. Digital technologies facilitate financial inclusion, empower small producers, strengthen local entrepreneurship, and expand participation among geographically dispersed communities. These advantages support broader sustainable development objectives by encouraging equitable economic participation and reducing structural barriers to market access. Appropriate legal regulation plays a decisive role in sustaining these benefits through effective supervision, transparent institutional governance, and reliable mechanisms for protecting member interests against emerging technological risks.[6]

Regulatory challenges continue to evolve alongside technological advancement. Questions concerning legal personality, taxation, cross-border digital transactions, intellectual property ownership, algorithmic accountability, personal data protection, and competition law require systematic legal examination. Digital cooperatives frequently operate across multiple regulatory domains that traditionally function independently. Fragmented legal arrangements may generate inconsistent obligations and increase compliance costs. Harmonized regulatory

---

[4] Petri Honkanen, Mats Nylund, and Magnus Westerlund, "Organizational Building Blocks for Blockchain Governance: A Survey of 241 Blockchain White Papers," *Frontiers in Blockchain* 4 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.613115.

[5] Outi Korhonen and Juho Rantala, "Blockchain Governance Challenges: Beyond Libertarianism," *AJIL Unbound* 115 (2021): 408–12, https://doi.org/10.1017/aju.2021.65.

[6] Giuseppe Colangelo, "Blockchain Governance: The Missing Piece in the Competition Puzzle," *Computer Law & Security Review* 43 (2021): 105609, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2021.105609.

approaches therefore become increasingly important for promoting innovation while preserving legal coherence and institutional stability.

Comparative legal scholarship demonstrates that jurisdictions adopting adaptive regulatory strategies generally achieve stronger integration between technological innovation and cooperative development. Regulatory flexibility encourages organizational experimentation while maintaining adequate mechanisms for consumer protection, financial integrity, and democratic governance. Comparative analysis also reveals significant differences concerning licensing requirements, digital identity verification, cybersecurity obligations, and supervisory authority. These experiences provide valuable references for jurisdictions seeking to modernize cooperative regulation without compromising foundational cooperative values.

This article examines digital cooperatives as an emerging modern business model through a comprehensive legal analysis of their opportunities and regulatory challenges. The discussion evaluates existing legal frameworks governing cooperative institutions, digital economic activities, and technological innovation while identifying normative gaps that hinder sustainable development. Comparative legal analysis supports the formulation of regulatory recommendations capable of strengthening legal certainty, promoting responsible innovation, and ensuring that digital cooperatives continue to function as democratic, inclusive, and sustainable economic institutions in the evolving digital economy.

METHODS

This study employs doctrinal legal research to examine the legal framework governing digital cooperatives as a modern business model and to evaluate regulatory challenges arising from digital transformation.[7] Doctrinal legal research focuses on legal norms, statutory provisions, judicial interpretation, and legal principles that regulate cooperative institutions, digital business activities, and electronic transactions. The method aims to identify normative inconsistencies, assess regulatory adequacy, and formulate legal recommendations capable of supporting sustainable digital cooperative development.

A statutory approach constitutes the primary analytical framework. Relevant legislation concerning cooperatives, electronic commerce, digital governance, personal data protection, consumer protection, competition law, and corporate governance serves as the principal source of legal analysis.[8] Legislative provisions are examined systematically to determine their compatibility with technological innovation and digital organizational structures. Particular attention is directed toward legal certainty, institutional accountability, and democratic governance embedded within cooperative regulation.

The research also applies a conceptual approach by examining legal doctrines and theoretical principles developed through academic literature concerning cooperative law, digital

[7] Tunggul Ansari Setia Negara, "Normative Legal Research in Indonesia: Its Originis and Approaches," *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)* 4, no. 1 (2023): 1–9, https://doi.org/10.22219/aclj.v4i1.24855.
[8] Ayaz Khan, Aisha Nayab Qureshi, and Muhammad Zubair Khan, "Methodological Foundations of Legal Research: A Critical Examination of Doctrinal, Comparative, and Socio-Legal Approaches," *ASSA Journal*, 2026, https://assajournal.com/index.php/36/article/view/1595.

governance, platform economy, and technology regulation.[9] Established legal concepts such as legal certainty, proportionality, transparency, accountability, participation, and good governance provide analytical benchmarks for evaluating the effectiveness of existing regulatory frameworks. This approach facilitates a comprehensive understanding of legal developments accompanying digital transformation.

A comparative legal approach complements the doctrinal analysis through examination of regulatory models adopted across several jurisdictions that have introduced legal mechanisms supporting digital cooperative development and platform governance. Comparative analysis identifies regulatory similarities, institutional differences, and innovative legislative solutions capable of enhancing legal certainty while preserving cooperative identity. International legal instruments and globally recognized cooperative principles also contribute to the comparative assessment.

Legal materials consist of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal sources. Primary legal materials include constitutions, statutes, regulations, judicial decisions, and official policy documents governing cooperative institutions and digital economic activities. Secondary legal materials comprise peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, legal commentaries, and research reports discussing cooperative governance, digital business regulation, and technology law. Tertiary legal materials, including legal dictionaries and encyclopedias, support clarification of legal terminology and conceptual interpretation.

The analytical process applies qualitative legal analysis through interpretation, classification, and systematic evaluation of legal norms. Statutory provisions are interpreted using grammatical, systematic, historical, and teleological methods whenever necessary to determine legislative objectives and regulatory coherence. Comparative findings are synthesized with doctrinal analysis to identify normative gaps, overlapping authorities, and potential inconsistencies affecting digital cooperative governance. The analysis ultimately formulates recommendations aimed at strengthening regulatory effectiveness while promoting innovation, legal certainty, democratic participation, and sustainable development of digital cooperatives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Reconstructing the Legal Architecture of Digital Cooperatives in the Digital Economy

Digital cooperatives have emerged as a significant institutional innovation combining cooperative principles with digital technologies to establish democratic and technology-oriented business organizations. Rapid technological development has transformed the manner through which cooperative members communicate, conduct transactions, participate in governance, and access organizational services.[10] Digital platforms provide operational flexibility while expanding economic participation beyond geographical limitations. Such transformation

---

[9] Putri Wulandari, "Normative Legal Research Methodology from the Experts' Perspective: A Comparative Analysis of Concepts and Approaches," *Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies* 1, no. 6 (2026), https://doi.org/10.65739/archipel.v1i6.34.

[10] David Rozas et al., "When Ostrom Meets Blockchain: Exploring the Potentials of Blockchain for Commons Governance," *SAGE Open* 11, no. 1 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211002526.

strengthens the competitiveness of cooperatives across increasingly digitalized markets. Legal systems consequently face growing pressure to accommodate these institutional changes through adaptive regulatory reform.

Technological advancement has altered traditional cooperative governance by replacing numerous physical administrative activities with electronic systems. Membership registration, financial reporting, annual meetings, and voting procedures may now operate through secure online platforms. Administrative efficiency increases substantially because digital processes reduce documentation costs and improve information accessibility. Cooperative members also enjoy greater opportunities to participate regardless of physical location. Nevertheless, regulatory provisions frequently continue to assume conventional organizational structures dependent upon face-to-face interaction and manual administration.

Legal recognition remains one of the principal foundations supporting the institutional legitimacy of digital cooperatives. Existing cooperative statutes across many jurisdictions were enacted before rapid digital transformation reshaped commercial activities. Numerous legislative provisions therefore provide limited guidance concerning electronic governance, virtual membership, and automated administrative procedures. Regulatory uncertainty affects institutional stability because organizations require clear legal authority before implementing innovative governance mechanisms. Legislative modernization becomes essential for addressing these emerging institutional realities.

Democratic member participation constitutes the defining characteristic distinguishing cooperatives from investor-owned corporations. Digital technologies should strengthen rather than weaken this principle through accessible communication systems and transparent governance mechanisms.[11] Electronic voting, virtual assemblies, and online consultation platforms enable broader participation while improving organizational responsiveness. Appropriate legal safeguards remain necessary to ensure fairness, transparency, and equal treatment throughout every decision-making process. Democratic governance continues to represent the central legal identity of cooperative institutions regardless of technological evolution.

Electronic voting systems have become increasingly important for improving participation among geographically dispersed members. Digital platforms facilitate efficient decision-making without requiring physical attendance during annual general meetings. Legal validity of electronic voting nevertheless depends upon statutory recognition concerning authentication procedures, voter verification, record preservation, and procedural transparency. Comprehensive regulation minimizes disputes regarding election results and institutional decisions.[12] Reliable legal standards consequently strengthen confidence among members and external stakeholders alike.

---

[11] Paula de Íscar de Rojas, "La Aplicación de La Tecnología Blockchain En Los Órganos Sociales de Las Sociedades Cooperativas," *REVESCO: Revista de Estudios Cooperativos*, 2025, https://doi.org/10.5209/reve.99737.

[12] Dadang Iwan Riswandi and Rahmat Saleh, "Blockchain-Enabled Algorithmic Governance and Frontier Performance in Cooperative Agribusiness: Integrating Productivity, Technology-Gap, and Transaction-

Volume 1 Number 2 (2026)
May 2026
Page: 180-194

Virtual general meetings illustrate another important transformation affecting cooperative governance. Traditional legal provisions frequently require physical attendance, signed documentation, and direct member interaction during organizational assemblies. Digital communication technologies permit equivalent deliberation through secure audiovisual platforms capable of supporting discussion, voting, and documentation.[13] Legislative reform should therefore recognize virtual assemblies as legally valid organizational procedures. Such recognition enhances operational flexibility without diminishing democratic accountability.

Digital identity verification represents another essential component supporting legally valid electronic governance. Cooperative members require secure authentication mechanisms before accessing organizational platforms or exercising voting rights. Digital identification systems supported through encryption technologies reduce risks associated with impersonation, unauthorized access, and fraudulent transactions.[14] Clear legal standards governing authentication procedures increase institutional credibility while protecting member rights. Reliable identity verification also strengthens evidentiary certainty during dispute resolution.

Blockchain technology offers promising opportunities for strengthening transparency within digital cooperative governance. Distributed ledger systems create immutable transaction records capable of enhancing organizational accountability and financial integrity. Cooperative membership records, voting outcomes, financial contributions, and dividend distributions may all benefit from blockchain-based documentation.

Legal recognition of blockchain-generated records remains necessary to ensure evidentiary reliability before judicial and administrative authorities. Appropriate regulation would encourage responsible technological adoption across cooperative institutions. Smart contracts further expand technological possibilities by automating contractual obligations through programmable digital agreements.[15] Dividend distribution, membership contributions, procurement arrangements, and internal financial transactions may proceed automatically once predetermined conditions have been satisfied.

Legal frameworks should clarify contractual validity, enforceability, liability allocation, and dispute settlement concerning automated contractual relationships. Comprehensive regulation provides certainty without limiting technological innovation. Smart contracts therefore require specific legislative attention alongside broader digital governance reforms.

Institutional accountability becomes increasingly complex because digital cooperatives frequently collaborate with external technology providers. Cloud computing companies,

---

Cost Analysis," *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Terapan Universitas Jambi* 10, no. 3 (2026), https://doi.org/10.22437/jiituj.v10i3.56891.

[13] Wijaya and Alauwiyah, "Digital Transformation and Governance Reform in Indonesian Cooperatives: A Systematic Literature Review Analysis toward Vision 2045."

[14] Willy Naresta Hanum and Nilam Firmandayu, "Testing the Implementation of 'Meaningful Participation' as an Operational Concept in Lawmaking: Indonesia's Extractive Regulations Case," *International Journal of Law and Management*, 2025.

[15] Didi Sukardi et al., "Digital Transformation of Cooperative Legal Entities in Indonesia," *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum Dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan* 24, no. 2 (2024), https://doi.org/10.30631/alrisalah.v24i2.1563.

JOURNAL OF
Legal Theory and Society
https://journals.usm.ac.id/index.php/jlts

payment service providers, cybersecurity specialists, and software developers perform essential operational functions supporting digital governance. Multi-layered contractual relationships complicate responsibility whenever operational failures or security breaches occur. Legislative provisions should distinguish clearly between organizational accountability and third-party service obligations.[16] Such clarity protects cooperative members while promoting responsible technological partnerships.

Cybersecurity has become an indispensable component of contemporary cooperative governance. Digital platforms remain vulnerable to ransomware attacks, unauthorized system access, financial fraud, and personal data theft. Cooperative organizations therefore require comprehensive security governance supported through preventive technical standards and legal compliance obligations. Incident reporting mechanisms, cybersecurity audits, and organizational preparedness contribute significantly toward institutional resilience. Effective legal regulation strengthens public confidence while reducing operational vulnerabilities.

Personal data protection represents another critical legal issue accompanying digital cooperative development. Cooperative platforms routinely collect information concerning member identity, financial activities, commercial transactions, and organizational participation. Appropriate legal safeguards should regulate data collection, processing, storage, transfer, and deletion according to internationally recognized privacy principles.[17] Transparent governance enhances member confidence while reducing institutional exposure to privacy-related disputes. Data governance consequently occupies a central position within digital cooperative regulation.

Cross-border commercial activities increasingly characterize modern digital cooperatives operating through electronic platforms. Members, consumers, suppliers, and business partners may participate across multiple jurisdictions without geographical limitations. Such international activities introduce complex legal issues involving taxation, jurisdiction, consumer protection, financial supervision, and electronic evidence. Regulatory harmonization facilitates international cooperation while reducing legal uncertainty affecting transnational cooperative operations. Coordinated legal standards therefore support sustainable global expansion.

Comparative legal experiences demonstrate that adaptive legislation encourages technological innovation without undermining cooperative identity. Jurisdictions recognizing electronic governance, digital documentation, and technology-neutral regulatory approaches generally achieve stronger institutional flexibility. Legislative modernization supports organizational innovation while maintaining transparency, accountability, and democratic participation. Comparative analysis also reveals the importance of integrated supervision coordinating cooperative authorities, digital regulators, financial institutions, and cybersecurity

---

[16] Uky Zaza Agustiana and Gama Pratama, "Cooperative Principles and Economic Sustainability in the Digital Era: Evidence from Indonesian Cooperatives," *Journal Magister Ilmu Ekonomi Universitas Palangka Raya: GROWTH* 11, no. 2 (2025), https://doi.org/10.52300/grow.v11i2.24655.

[17] Silvia Gadola et al., "More than Just Digital Platforms: A Framework to Uncover the Characteristics of Platform Cooperatives through Platform Business Models," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 229 (2026): 124725, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2026.124725.

agencies. These regulatory experiences provide valuable guidance for future legislative development.

A modern legal architecture for digital cooperatives should integrate cooperative principles with comprehensive digital governance regulation capable of responding to continuous technological evolution. Legislative reform should recognize electronic membership systems, virtual assemblies, blockchain-based documentation, secure digital identities, smart contracts, and advanced cybersecurity obligations. Regulatory coherence enhances legal certainty while strengthening institutional accountability and democratic governance. Such modernization positions digital cooperatives as competitive, inclusive, and sustainable business organizations capable of supporting long-term economic development across the global digital economy.

B. Balancing Innovation, Legal Certainty, and Regulatory Accountability for Sustainable Digital Cooperatives

Technological innovation has become the primary driver of digital cooperative development across various sectors of the modern economy. Digital platforms improve operational efficiency, strengthen member engagement, and expand commercial opportunities beyond conventional market limitations. These advantages enable cooperatives to compete more effectively with technology-oriented business entities.[18] Sustainable development, however, requires legal certainty capable of supporting innovation without weakening institutional accountability. Balanced regulation therefore represents a fundamental prerequisite for long-term organizational resilience.

Digital cooperatives increasingly operate across agriculture, financial services, creative industries, renewable energy, healthcare, transportation, education, and electronic commerce. Technological integration allows organizations to reach broader consumer markets while improving service quality through digital applications. Economic expansion also creates increasingly complex legal relationships involving consumers, suppliers, investors, regulators, and technology providers.[19] Comprehensive regulatory frameworks become necessary to ensure that organizational growth proceeds alongside adequate legal protection. Regulatory certainty consequently strengthens investor confidence and market credibility.

Legal certainty constitutes an essential foundation supporting innovation because technological investment depends heavily upon predictable regulatory conditions. Cooperative organizations require clear statutory guidance governing digital governance, commercial transactions, electronic documentation, and financial operations.[20] Regulatory ambiguity

[18] Damion J Bunders and Khanh Tran, "Organizational Democracy Revisited: A Case Study of Governance Innovations in a Platform Cooperative Facing Global Scale and Member Heterogeneity," *Business & Society*, 2026, https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503261428800.

[19] Agus Nugraha, "Inovasi Model Bisnis Koperasi Merah Putih Berbasis Platform Digital: Implikasi Terhadap Tata Kelola Dan Kebijakan Perkoperasian," *J-Coop: Journal of Co-Operative* 2, no. 1 (2026): 65–72, https://doi.org/10.32670/jc.v2i1.52.

[20] Derlina Derlina et al., "A Digital Business Legal Regulation Model to Create a Safe and Sustainable Digital Ecosystem," *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 1–14.

Journal of Legal Theory and Society
https://journals.usm.ac.id/index.php/jlts

increases operational risks and discourages long-term technological investment. Consistent legal interpretation enhances institutional stability while promoting responsible business expansion. Modern legislative approaches should therefore prioritize clarity, coherence, and adaptability.

Data governance has emerged as one of the most important legal challenges associated with digital cooperative activities. Organizational platforms continuously process substantial amounts of personal information, financial records, commercial transactions, and operational data generated through member participation. Appropriate legal regulation should establish clear obligations concerning data collection, lawful processing, storage security, retention periods, and information disclosure.[21] Effective governance minimizes privacy risks while strengthening institutional transparency. Reliable data protection significantly enhances member confidence toward digital cooperative services.

Personal data protection requires stronger legal safeguards because digital technologies expose cooperative members to increasing cybersecurity threats. Unauthorized information disclosure, identity theft, financial fraud, and unlawful surveillance may substantially undermine organizational credibility. Regulatory frameworks should guarantee informed consent, purpose limitation, proportional data processing, and effective legal remedies for affected individuals. Independent supervisory authorities also play an important role in monitoring regulatory compliance. Strong privacy protection ultimately reinforces public trust and organizational legitimacy.

Artificial intelligence has become an increasingly valuable instrument supporting organizational management and commercial decision-making. Automated systems improve financial analysis, customer services, inventory management, membership administration, and strategic planning through advanced data analytics. Artificial intelligence also enhances operational efficiency by reducing administrative burdens and accelerating routine organizational procedures.[22] Technological innovation nevertheless requires comprehensive legal supervision capable of preventing arbitrary or discriminatory algorithmic outcomes. Human oversight therefore remains indispensable throughout automated decision-making processes.

Algorithmic accountability represents another emerging legal concern accompanying artificial intelligence deployment. Machine-learning systems frequently operate through complex computational models that may produce decisions difficult to explain or verify. Cooperative members should retain access to transparent procedures allowing meaningful review of automated decisions affecting their legal rights or economic interests. Regulatory obligations concerning explainability, fairness, transparency, and non-discrimination strengthen democratic governance. Appropriate legal standards prevent technological efficiency from undermining institutional accountability.

---

[21] Aswadi Jaya et al., "Implications of the Personal Data Protection Law on Digital Platform Business Models in Indonesia," *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 15–28.
[22] Untung Rahardja et al., "Human Centered Legal Governance for Autonomous AI in Digital Societies," *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 29–41.

Volume 1 Number 2 (2026)
May 2026
Page: 180-194

JOURNAL OF
Legal Theory and Society

https://journals.usm.ac.id/index.php/jlts

Financial sustainability depends substantially upon legal certainty governing capital formation and digital financing mechanisms. Conventional cooperative legislation often limits access to innovative investment opportunities available through digital financial technologies. Crowdfunding platforms, cooperative investment applications, tokenized assets, and digital financing instruments create alternative sources of organizational capital.[23] Regulatory adaptation should facilitate responsible innovation while preserving financial stability and member protection. Balanced financial regulation encourages sustainable institutional growth.

Digital payment systems further contribute significantly toward improving operational efficiency and financial inclusion. Members may conduct transactions, receive dividends, contribute capital, and access financial information through integrated electronic payment infrastructures. Technological convenience increases participation while reducing administrative costs associated with traditional financial procedures.[24] Legal regulation should establish adequate consumer protection standards governing payment security, transaction verification, and financial dispute resolution. Effective supervision strengthens confidence across digital financial ecosystems.

Cybersecurity remains an indispensable element supporting sustainable digital cooperative governance. Increasing dependence upon interconnected technological infrastructure exposes organizations to cyberattacks, ransomware incidents, malware infections, and operational disruptions. Preventive cybersecurity governance should incorporate technical safeguards, institutional preparedness, incident reporting obligations, and continuous risk assessment. Legislative standards also encourage consistent implementation of organizational security policies. Robust cybersecurity protection preserves institutional continuity while minimizing financial and reputational losses.[25]

Regulatory accountability requires effective coordination among multiple governmental institutions responsible for supervising digital economic activities. Cooperative authorities, financial regulators, cybersecurity agencies, consumer protection institutions, and competition authorities frequently exercise overlapping jurisdiction concerning digital organizations. Fragmented supervision may generate inconsistent legal obligations and unnecessary administrative complexity.[26] Coordinated governance models improve regulatory effectiveness through information sharing and harmonized enforcement strategies. Institutional collaboration consequently supports efficient regulatory administration.

Consumer protection occupies another strategic position because digital cooperatives increasingly provide goods and services through electronic platforms. Members and consumers

---

[23] Herman Herman et al., "Regulating Autonomous Mobility Systems in Smart Cities Through Adaptive Legal Frameworks," *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 42–56.

[24] Joaquín David Rodríguez Álvarez, "Beyond Data Silos: Federated Governance as Legal Response to Planetary Ecological Collapse," *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 2026, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-026-09718-z.

[25] Francisco Mendonca, Giovanna DiMarzo, and Nabil Abdennadher, "Data Cooperatives: Democratic Models for Ethical Data Stewardship," *ArXiv*, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.10058.

[26] Philipp Hacker, Atoosa Kasirzadeh, and Lilian Edwards, "AI, Digital Platforms, and the New Systemic Risk," *ArXiv*, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.17878.

Volume 1 Number 2 (2026)
May 2026
Page: 180-194

require transparent contractual information, accessible complaint mechanisms, fair commercial practices, and effective legal remedies whenever disputes arise. Digital technologies should strengthen rather than reduce consumer rights throughout commercial relationships.[27] Regulatory frameworks therefore need comprehensive provisions governing electronic transactions and platform accountability. Strong consumer protection enhances institutional credibility and long-term market sustainability.

Comparative legal developments demonstrate that adaptive regulatory approaches significantly encourage technological innovation. Several jurisdictions have introduced regulatory sandboxes, electronic licensing procedures, technology-neutral legislation, and digital compliance mechanisms supporting responsible experimentation. Flexible regulatory environments enable cooperative organizations to develop innovative business models while maintaining appropriate public oversight. Continuous regulatory evaluation further improves legislative responsiveness toward emerging technological developments. Comparative experience illustrates that innovation and legal certainty may coexist through carefully designed governance structures.

International regulatory cooperation has also become increasingly important because digital cooperative activities frequently involve cross-border transactions and multinational technological infrastructure. Harmonized legal standards facilitate interoperability, reduce compliance costs, and strengthen international investment opportunities. Collaborative regulatory frameworks additionally improve responses toward cybersecurity incidents, financial crime, and unlawful digital activities extending across national boundaries. Global cooperation therefore contributes substantially toward strengthening sustainable digital economic governance. Consistent legal coordination enhances institutional competitiveness within international markets.

Sustainable digital cooperatives ultimately require an integrated legal framework combining technological innovation, democratic governance, institutional accountability, consumer protection, cybersecurity, and financial integrity. Legislative modernization should preserve the traditional values of cooperation while embracing technological developments capable of improving organizational performance. Adaptive regulation encourages innovation without sacrificing legal certainty or public confidence. Comprehensive governance therefore positions digital cooperatives as resilient, competitive, and socially inclusive business institutions capable of supporting sustainable economic development throughout the digital era.

CONCLUSION

Digital cooperatives have emerged as a modern business model that combines cooperative principles with digital technologies to enhance organizational efficiency, democratic participation, and economic competitiveness. Existing legal frameworks, however, remain

[27] Morshed Mannan, Simon Pek, and Vangelis Papadimitropoulos, "The Cooperative Governance of Artificial Intelligence: The Case of READ-COOP's Transkribus Platform," in *Global Cooperative Economics and Movements: A Research Companion* (London: Routledge, 2026), https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003534006-25.

insufficient to accommodate electronic governance, digital identity, automated decision-making, data governance, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, and innovative financing mechanisms. Legal uncertainty may hinder institutional development, reduce investment confidence, and weaken member protection. A comprehensive regulatory framework should therefore integrate cooperative law with digital economy regulation, personal data protection, electronic transactions, and technology governance while preserving the fundamental values of cooperation, including democratic control, transparency, accountability, and equitable participation. Comparative legal developments demonstrate that adaptive and technology-neutral regulation encourages innovation without compromising legal certainty or institutional integrity. Sustainable digital cooperative development ultimately depends upon coherent legislative reform, coordinated regulatory oversight, robust cybersecurity standards, and effective legal protection capable of positioning digital cooperatives as resilient, inclusive, and competitive business institutions within the global digital economy.

REFERENCES

Agustiana, Uky Zaza, and Gama Pratama. “Cooperative Principles and Economic Sustainability in the Digital Era: Evidence from Indonesian Cooperatives.” *Journal Magister Ilmu Ekonomi Universitas Palangka Raya: GROWTH* 11, no. 2 (2025). https://doi.org/10.52300/grow.v11i2.24655.

Barlian, Achmad, Iwan Irwansyah, and Irawati Irawati. “From Governance to Digital Transformation: A Networked Approach to Cooperative Sustainability.” *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 2026. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-026-03344-5.

Bunders, Damion J, and Khanh Tran. “Organizational Democracy Revisited: A Case Study of Governance Innovations in a Platform Cooperative Facing Global Scale and Member Heterogeneity.” *Business & Society*, 2026. https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503261428800.

Colangelo, Giuseppe. “Blockchain Governance: The Missing Piece in the Competition Puzzle.” *Computer Law & Security Review* 43 (2021): 105609. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2021.105609.

Derlina, Derlina, Sigit Anggoro, Ratna Tri Safariningsih, and Carloz Perez. “A Digital Business Legal Regulation Model to Create a Safe and Sustainable Digital Ecosystem.” *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 1–14.

Gadola, Silvia, Simon Pek, Daniel Trabucchi, and Tommaso Buganza. “More than Just Digital Platforms: A Framework to Uncover the Characteristics of Platform Cooperatives through Platform Business Models.” *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 229 (2026): 124725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2026.124725.

Hacker, Philipp, Atoosa Kasirzadeh, and Lilian Edwards. “AI, Digital Platforms, and the New Systemic Risk.” *ArXiv*, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.17878.

Hanum, Willy Naresta, and Nilam Firmandayu. “Testing the Implementation of ‘Meaningful Participation’ as an Operational Concept in Lawmaking: Indonesia’s Extractive Regulations Case.” *International Journal of Law and Management*, 2025.

Herman, Herman, Rohim Rohim, Paroli Paroli, and Kristina Vaher. “Regulating Autonomous Mobility Systems in Smart Cities Through Adaptive Legal Frameworks.” *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 42–56.

Honkanen, Petri, Mats Nylund, and Magnus Westerlund. “Organizational Building Blocks for Blockchain Governance: A Survey of 241 Blockchain White Papers.” *Frontiers in Blockchain* 4 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.613115.

Íscar de Rojas, Paula de. “La Aplicación de La Tecnología Blockchain En Los Órganos Sociales de Las Sociedades Cooperativas.” *REVESCO: Revista de Estudios Cooperativos*, 2025. https://doi.org/10.5209/reve.99737.

Jaya, Aswadi, Suca Rusdian, Ahmad Gunawan, and Chua Toh Hua. “Implications of the Personal Data Protection Law on Digital Platform Business Models in Indonesia.” *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 15–28.

Khan, Ayaz, Aisha Nayab Qureshi, and Muhammad Zubair Khan. “Methodological Foundations of Legal Research: A Critical Examination of Doctrinal, Comparative, and Socio-Legal Approaches.” *ASSA Journal*, 2026. https://assajournal.com/index.php/36/article/view/1595.

Korhonen, Outi, and Juho Rantala. “Blockchain Governance Challenges: Beyond Libertarianism.” *AJIL Unbound* 115 (2021): 408–12. https://doi.org/10.1017/aju.2021.65.

Mannan, Morshed, Simon Pek, and Vangelis Papadimitropoulos. “The Cooperative Governance of Artificial Intelligence: The Case of READ-COOP’s Transkribus Platform.” In *Global Cooperative Economics and Movements: A Research Companion*. London: Routledge, 2026. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003534006-25.

Mendonca, Francisco, Giovanna DiMarzo, and Nabil Abdennadher. “Data Cooperatives: Democratic Models for Ethical Data Stewardship.” *ArXiv*, 2025. https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.10058.

Negara, Tunggul Ansari Setia. “Normative Legal Research in Indonesia: Its Originis and Approaches.” *Audito Comparative Law Journal (ACLJ)* 4, no. 1 (2023): 1–9. https://doi.org/10.22219/aclj.v4i1.24855.

Nugraha, Agus. “Inovasi Model Bisnis Koperasi Merah Putih Berbasis Platform Digital: Implikasi Terhadap Tata Kelola Dan Kebijakan Perkoperasian.” *J-Coop: Journal of Co-Operative* 2, no. 1 (2026): 65–72. https://doi.org/10.32670/jc.v2i1.52.

Rahardja, Untung, Mitra Terima Des Sincer Putri, Nanda Septiani, Fhia Amelia, Ardivan Avandi, and Richard Evans. “Human Centered Legal Governance for Autonomous AI in Digital Societies.” *Legal Autonomous Web-Based Governance (LAW)* 1, no. 1 (2026): 29–41.

Riswandi, Dadang Iwan, and Rahmat Saleh. “Blockchain-Enabled Algorithmic Governance and Frontier Performance in Cooperative Agribusiness: Integrating Productivity, Technology-Gap, and Transaction-Cost Analysis.” *Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Terapan Universitas Jambi* 10, no. 3 (2026). https://doi.org/10.22437/jiituj.v10i3.56891.

Rodríguez Álvarez, Joaquín David. “Beyond Data Silos: Federated Governance as Legal Response to Planetary Ecological Collapse.” *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 2026. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-026-09718-z.

Rozas, David, Antonio Tenorio-Fornés, Silvia Díaz-Molina, and Samer Hassan. “When Ostrom Meets Blockchain: Exploring the Potentials of Blockchain for Commons Governance.” *SAGE Open* 11, no. 1 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211002526.

Rozas, David, Antonio Tenorio-Fornés, and Samer Hassan. “Analysis of the Potentials of

Blockchain for the Governance of Global Digital Commons." *Frontiers in Blockchain* 4 (2021): 577680. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.577680.

Sukardi, Didi, Jefik Zulfikar Hafizd, Afif Muamar, Fatin Hamamah, Esti Royani, and Babur Sobirov. "Digital Transformation of Cooperative Legal Entities in Indonesia." *Al-Risalah: Forum Kajian Hukum Dan Sosial Kemasyarakatan* 24, no. 2 (2024). https://doi.org/10.30631/alrisalah.v24i2.1563.

Wijaya, Tomy, and Fatimah Alauwiyah. "Digital Transformation and Governance Reform in Indonesian Cooperatives: A Systematic Literature Review Analysis toward Vision 2045." *Journal of Mathematics Instruction, Social Research and Opinion* 5, no. 2 (2026). https://doi.org/10.58421/misro.v5i2.1225.

Wulandari, Putri. "Normative Legal Research Methodology from the Experts' Perspective: A Comparative Analysis of Concepts and Approaches." *Archipel: Journal of Indonesian Interdisciplinary Studies* 1, no. 6 (2026). https://doi.org/10.65739/archipel.v1i6.34.