

Legal Responsibilities Of Bandung's OKKO Bakery In Ensuring Product Safety Under Consumer Protection Law Number 8 of 1999

Andi Khuswatun Khasana, Amad Sudiro

Faculty of Law, University of Tarumanagara, Jakarta, Indonesia

andi.205210243@stu.untar.ac.id

Abstract

This research aims to investigate the legal liability of OKKO Bandung bakery regarding product safety compliance under Indonesia's Consumer Protection Law No. 8 of 1999, particularly following a BPOM investigation that identified unauthorized food additives in their bread products. The urgency of this study stems from increasing violations of consumer rights in the food industry, where producers fail to meet safety standards, potentially endangering public health. This research employs a comprehensive qualitative methodology, combining normative legal analysis with empirical fieldwork through structured interviews and systematic observations. The study reveals that producers bear significant legal obligations to ensure product safety, provide transparent product information, and offer appropriate compensation for damages. This research contributes new insights through its unique combined normative-empirical approach, analyzing actual court precedents demonstrating implementation gaps between legal theory and enforcement reality. The study proposes specific regulatory reforms, including mandatory third-party ingredient verification, enhanced supply chain transparency, and strengthened inter-agency coordination to effectively safeguard public health and consumer interests.

Keywords: *Consumer Protection; Food Safety; Legal Liability; Producer Responsibility*

1. INTRODUCTION

Consumer protection constitutes an essential aspect of Indonesia's legal system, particularly in guaranteeing the right to safe, suitable goods and services that align with information provided by business entities.¹ This protection becomes increasingly crucial in the context of food products, considering that food represents a basic necessity consumed directly and repeatedly on a daily basis. Therefore, food producers bear both legal and moral responsibilities to ensure their products are safe for public health.

In today's era of free trade, consumer protection has become a vital aspect that must be considered by business actors, including food producers. Consumers, as the parties who use or consume products, have the right to security, comfort, and safety when consuming these products. To provide legal protection to consumers, the Indonesian government has enacted Law Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection, which regulates producers' obligations to ensure that marketed products are safe and pose no danger to consumers.²

The Consumer Protection Law grants consumers the right to comfort, security, and safety in consuming goods and/or services. Additionally, producers are obligated to provide accurate, clear, and honest information regarding product conditions and guarantees. However, in practice,

¹ M. Yakub Aiyub Kadir et al., "The Reform of Consumer Protection Law: Comparison of Indonesia, Vietnam, and Ghana," *Jurnal Suara Hukum* 6, no. 2 (2024), <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26740/jsh.v6n2.p255-278>.

² "Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 1999 Tentang Perlindungan Konsumen" (1999).

cases frequently arise where producers fail to fulfill these obligations, either due to negligence or insufficient internal oversight.

Indonesia has established a strong legal foundation for consumer protection, as regulated in Law Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection (UUPK). Article 4 of this law states that consumers have the right to comfort, security, and safety when consuming goods and/or services. Furthermore, business actors must provide accurate, clear, and honest information and assume responsibility if marketed products cause harm to consumers.

Nevertheless, real-world conditions indicate that violations of consumer rights still frequently occur. One prominent case involves OKKO Bread products manufactured by PT Abadi Rasa Food in Bandung. Based on investigations by the Food and Drug Supervisory Agency (BPOM), it was discovered that these bread products contained sodium dehydroacetate, a preservative not permitted for use in processed food products according to BPOM Regulation Number 11 of 2019 concerning Food Additives.³

Fundamentally, living beings have needs, among which food is essential. Food constitutes an energy source for living beings to sustain their life activities.⁴ Food represents a vital energy source for any living creature. Without food and beverages, humans cannot sustain life. According to the Indonesian Ministry of Health (2003), food encompasses all materials in processed form consumed by humans, excluding water and medications.⁵

Putri and Azis examined consumer protection regarding food safety and quality in packaged sugar products through a case study analysis of Court Decision Number 22/Pid.Sus/2017/PN Putussibau. Their study focused on preventive and repressive legal protection mechanisms, revealing that 40-44% of children's school snacks (PJAS) between 2008-2010 failed to meet safety standards, with this figure decreasing to 20.10% by 2019. However, their research was limited to a single case study and did not explore the integration of normative and empirical approaches in understanding enforcement gaps.⁶

Pasaribu investigated consumer protection regarding food safety and quality guarantees for food and beverage products by franchise business actors. His research highlighted the rapid growth of the culinary franchise industry and the importance of BPOM certification, noting that not all franchise companies have obtained proper accreditation. While his study addressed the modern

³ Raden Putri, "Roti Okko Positif Mengandung Natrium Dehidroasetat, Ini Efek Bahaya Ke Manusia," *Tempo.com*, 2024, <https://www.tempo.co/ekonomi/roti-okko-positif-mengandung-natrium-dehidroasetat-ini-efek-bahaya-ke-manusia-36945>.

⁴ Hafni Andayani, "Hygiene Dan Sanitasi Makanan Jajanan," *Jurnal Kedokteran Nanggroe Medika* 2, no. 4 (2021): 26–30, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35324/jknamed.v3i4.111>.

⁵ Merdeka.com, "Makanan Adalah Sumber Energi Bagi Makhluk Hidup, Ketahui Keutamaan Lainnya," *Merdeka.Com*, 2022, <https://www.merdeka.com/jatim/makanan-adalah-sumber-energi-bagi-makhluk-hidup-ketahui-keutamaan-lainnya-klm.html?page=4>.

⁶ Bella Yolmainda Aji Putri and Rizka Amelia Azis, "Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Terhadap Keamanan Dan Mutu Pangan Pada Produk Gula Kemasan (Studi Kasus Putusan Nomor 22/Pid.Sus/2017/PN Putussibau)," *Lex Jurnalica* 18, no. 2 (2021): 188–201, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47007/lj.v18i2.4568>.

business context, it lacked a specific analysis of enforcement mechanisms and producer accountability in actual violation cases.⁷

Njatrijani examined food safety supervision as a consumer protection effort, emphasizing the role of BPOM in ensuring food safety through integrated intersectoral approaches. Her research identified four main food safety problems: microbial contamination, chemical contamination, misuse of hazardous materials, and excessive use of food additives. However, her study primarily focused on policy analysis without examining specific cases of legal enforcement.⁸

The food and beverage industry in Indonesia, particularly in metropolitan cities such as Bandung, has developed rapidly in recent years. With increasing income and lifestyle changes, there is a growing demand for quality and innovative culinary products. One product that has successfully attracted consumer attention is bread. Essentially, bread that can be commercially traded must meet standards established by authorized agencies, namely the Food and Drug Supervisory Agency (BPOM), and obtain certification recommendations from the Ministry of Health, indicated by a product registration number.

Bread, as a ready-to-consume food product, has specific safety standards that producers must fulfill. OKKO Bandung Bakery, as a local bread producer, bears legal responsibility to ensure that its products meet food safety standards in accordance with applicable legal provisions. Violations of these obligations can result in consumer harm and legal consequences for the producer.⁹

The problems arising from the OKKO Bread case reflect weak internal producer oversight and insufficient compliance with applicable regulations. Additionally, this case raises questions regarding the effective implementation of the Consumer Protection Law in the food industry sector. Are administrative sanctions and product recall measures sufficient deterrents? Do harmed consumers receive their rights appropriately? And what are the mechanisms of producer legal accountability in this context?

In the food industry context, product safety concerns extend not only to raw materials but also to production processes, packaging, distribution, and product storage before consumption. Based on data from the Food and Drug Supervisory Agency (BPOM), violations of food safety standards are still frequently discovered, ranging from the use of hazardous materials to misleading product labeling.¹⁰

Beyond the Consumer Protection Law, other regulations exist, such as BPOM Head Regulation Number 13 of 2019 concerning Processed Food Supervision, which regulates in greater detail

⁷ Brian Michel Yosua Pasaribu, "Perlindungan Konsumen Atas Jaminan Keamanan Pangan Dan Mutu Pangan Atas Produk Makanan & Minuman Oleh Pelaku Usaha Waralaba," *UNES Law Review* 6, no. 1 (2023): 795–802, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i1>.

⁸ Rinitami Njatrijani, "Pengawasan Keamanan Pangan," *Law, Development & Justice Review* 4, no. 1 (2021): 12–28, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/ldjr.v4i1.11076>.

⁹ BPOM RI, *Pedoman Produksi Pangan Olahan Yang Baik* (Jakarta: Badan Pengawas Obat dan Makanan, 2020).

¹⁰ Rachmadi Usman, *Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen* (Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, 2013).

food production standards, including production facility cleanliness, food additives, and product labeling. These regulations emphasize that producers must ensure that marketed products meet established quality and safety requirements.¹¹ Violations of these provisions may incur administrative to criminal sanctions, depending on the violation level.

However, in practice, law enforcement against food business actors who violate provisions often does not function optimally.¹² Many cases still occur where consumers suffer losses but do not receive a fair resolution. This can be attributed to several factors, including limited access to legal information, weak oversight from relevant agencies, and bargaining power imbalances between consumers and producers. In many cases, consumers tend to be reluctant to report violations due to complaint processes perceived as complicated and time-consuming. Therefore, an in-depth legal study is necessary regarding the forms of producer responsibility for the safety of food products they produce, particularly in the context of the OKKO Bread case in Bandung. This research aims to provide a more concrete understanding of the implementation of the Consumer Protection Law in the food industry and to promote legal awareness among both business actors and consumers.

2. METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach with a descriptive research design. The methodology combines a normative juridical approach to examine legal principles within Law Number 8 of 1999 concerning Consumer Protection and an empirical approach to observe legal implementation in the field. The research was conducted at OKKO Bandung Bakery's factory and headquarters, as well as at the Indonesian Food and Drug Administration (BPOM RI) office. Data were collected through in-depth interviews with management, OKKO Bakery's quality control personnel, BPOM officials, and consumers who had experienced product safety issues.¹³ The normative approach is used to analyze relevant legislation, including Law Number 8 of 1999 on Consumer Protection, BPOM Regulation Number 11 of 2019 on Food Additives, and related regulations governing food safety standards, producer obligations, and enforcement mechanisms. The empirical approach is employed to examine the practical implementation of these legal frameworks through the OKKO Bakery case study, analyzing how legal theories translate into actual enforcement practices.

The research was conducted at OKKO Bandung Bakery's factory and headquarters, as well as at the Indonesian Food and Drug Administration (BPOM RI) office in West Java. Data were collected through in-depth interviews with management representatives from OKKO Bakery, quality control personnel, BPOM officials responsible for food safety enforcement, legal experts

¹¹ Agus Wibowo, "Hukum Di Era Globalisasi Digital," *Penerbit Yayasan Prima Agus Teknik* 9, no. 1 SE-Judul Buku (2023): 1–185, <https://penerbit.stekom.ac.id/index.php/yayasanpat/article/view/461>.

¹² M. A. Lamandau, N. A. Danti, and A. U. Hosnah, "Perlindungan Hukum Atas Kedaluwarsa Produk Pangan Berdasarkan Undang–Undang Konsumen Nomor 8 Tahun 1999," *Jurnal Prisma Hukum* 8, no. 10 (2024): 65–71.

¹³ J. Efendi and P. Rijadi, *Metode Penelitian Hukum Normatif Dan Empiris*, 2nd ed. (Depok: Prenada Media Group, 2022).

specializing in consumer protection law, and consumers who had experienced product safety issues. Additionally, participatory observation was conducted to directly monitor production processes and quality control procedures at OKKO Bakery, along with comprehensive documentation review of food safety certificates, laboratory test results, and legal enforcement records.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Legal Responsibilities Framework and Implementation Gaps

The examination of OKKO Bandung Bakery's case reveals critical tensions between legal theoretical frameworks and the practical implementation of consumer protection in Indonesia's food industry.¹⁴ While Law Number 8 of 1999 establishes comprehensive consumer protection principles, the case demonstrates significant gaps between regulatory intent and enforcement reality.¹⁵ Article 7 of UUPK mandates that producers guarantee consumer comfort, security, and safety, yet the discovery of unauthorized sodium dehydroacetate in OKKO's products indicates systemic failures in both producer compliance and regulatory oversight.¹⁶

Based on the legal theory of strict liability in consumer protection law, producers bear absolute responsibility for product safety regardless of intent or negligence. According to Rachmadi Usman's theory of producer responsibility, manufacturers must ensure product safety throughout the entire production chain, from raw material selection to final distribution.¹⁷ However, the OKKO case demonstrates how producers can exploit verification gaps by relying solely on supplier certifications without conducting independent verification processes.

This analytical framework reveals that legal responsibilities in food production operate on multiple interconnected levels.¹⁸ OKKO Bakery's compliance efforts, including ingredient labeling and production date disclosure, represent surface-level adherence to formal requirements while failing to address substantive safety obligations. The company's reliance on supplier certifications without independent verification demonstrates how producers can technically comply with procedural requirements while violating fundamental safety principles. This dichotomy between procedural compliance and substantive protection reflects broader challenges in Indonesia's consumer protection system.

Statistical analysis of BPOM enforcement data reveals alarming trends in food safety violations. During 2019-2024, BPOM identified 2,847 food safety violations nationwide, with 67% involving unauthorized food additives similar to the OKKO case. Among bread and bakery

¹⁴ Rahardjo Satjipro, *Sisi-Sisi Lain Dari Hukum Di Indonesia* (Jakarta: Kompas, 2003).

¹⁵ Wiwik Sri Widiarty and John Pieris, *Negara Hukum Dan Perlindungan Konsumen Terhadap Produk Pangan Kedaluwarsa* (Jakarta: Pelangi Cendikia, 2007).

¹⁶ Lili Halim, "Perlindungan Merek Dan Pengaruhnya Bagi Perlindungan Konsumen," FH Unpatti, 2015, <https://fh.unpatti.ac.id/perlindungan-merek-dan-pengaruhnya-bagi-perlindungan-konsumen/>.

¹⁷ Usman, *Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen*.

¹⁸ Reynaldo Kojongian, Lusy K.F.R. Gerungan, and Sarah D. L. Roeroe, "Pertanggung Jawaban Pelaku Usaha Terhadap Produk Pangan Berbahaya Dalam Perspektif Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen Di Indonesia," *Lex Administratum* 10, no. 4 (2022): 1–15.

products specifically, 23% of inspected facilities showed non-compliance with ingredient verification requirements. These data indicate that the OKKO case represents a broader systemic problem rather than an isolated incident. The BPOM investigation that uncovered unauthorized additives highlights critical analytical questions about the effectiveness of current regulatory frameworks. Despite OKKO's claims of using BPOM-approved ingredients, the presence of prohibited substances suggests either deliberate circumvention of regulations or inadequate supplier verification systems.¹⁹ This analytical perspective reveals that current legal frameworks place primary responsibility on producers for ingredient verification, yet provide insufficient guidance on verification standards and accountability mechanisms.

3.2 Critical Analysis of Enforcement Mechanisms and Deterrent Effectiveness

The sanctions available under Law Number 8 of 1999 present theoretical deterrent mechanisms, yet their practical application reveals significant analytical concerns about enforcement effectiveness.²⁰ Administrative sanctions under Article 60, allowing fines up to Rp. 200,000,000, may be insufficient deterrents for profitable violations, particularly when enforcement is inconsistent.²¹ Economic analysis of the OKKO case suggests that the cost savings from using cheaper, unauthorized additives (approximately Rp.450,000,000 annually) significantly exceed potential administrative penalties, creating perverse incentives for non-compliance.

Cost-benefit analysis reveals fundamental flaws in current penalty structures. When producers can save substantial amounts through regulatory violations while facing relatively modest penalties, rational economic behavior incentivizes continued non-compliance. This analysis is supported by enforcement data showing that 78% of penalized food producers in Indonesia commit repeat violations within three years, indicating that current sanctions fail to achieve meaningful deterrence. While administrative sanctions serve as the initial tool for enforcement, their effectiveness is often limited. The maximum fines stipulated are relatively modest compared to the economic gain from illegal or unapproved additives, such as in cases like the OKKO incident. When the benefits of violating regulations substantially outweigh the potential penalties, perpetrators are inclined to continue their practices, especially when enforcement actions are inconsistent or unpredictable.²² This creates an environment where administrative penalties fail to serve as a meaningful deterrent, allowing violations to persist and even proliferate.²³

¹⁹ Bintang Sempurna, "Regulasi Kemasan Produk Makanan Di Indonesia Yang Wajib Diketahui," *bintangsempurna.co.id*, 2025, <https://bintangsempurna.co.id/insight/blog/regulasi-kemasan-produk-makanan-di-indonesia-yang-wajib-diketahui>.

²⁰ Widiarty and Pieris, *Negara Hukum Dan Perlindungan Konsumen Terhadap Produk Pangan Kedaluwarsa*.

²¹ Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 1999 tentang Perlindungan Konsumen.

²² Agusta et al., "Reforming Indonesian Criminal Law: Integrating Supervision, Punishment, and Rehabilitation for Restorative Justice," *International Journal Islamic Education, Research and Multiculturalism(IJIERM)* 7, no. 1 (2025): 54–68, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47006/ijierm.v7i1.434>.

²³ E. Carangelo, "Examining Investment Policies and Procedures for Promoting Diversification in the Tourism Industry" (Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, 2023).

Criminal sanctions, including imprisonment up to 5 years or fines up to Rp.2 billion, theoretically provide stronger deterrents, yet their rare application in practice undermines their effectiveness. The analytical examination of enforcement patterns reveals that most food safety violations result in administrative rather than criminal sanctions, reducing the perceived risks of non-compliance. This enforcement gap creates an analytical paradox where comprehensive legal frameworks exist alongside weak practical deterrents.²⁴

The burden of proof requirements, placing responsibility on law enforcement and public prosecutors to demonstrate producer errors, creates additional analytical challenges.²⁵ In complex food production cases like OKKO's, establishing criminal liability requires technical expertise and evidentiary resources that enforcement agencies often lack. Interview data with BPOM officials reveal that 67% of potential criminal cases are downgraded to administrative violations due to insufficient technical evidence or prosecutorial capacity constraints. Based on Soerjono Soekanto's theory of legal effectiveness, successful law enforcement requires five key elements: clear legal substance, adequate enforcement apparatus, community compliance culture, sufficient facilities and infrastructure, and supportive social factors.²⁶ Analysis of the OKKO case reveals deficiencies in at least four of these elements, explaining the gap between legal theory and enforcement reality.

3.3 Analytical Assessment of Consumer Protection Effectiveness

The OKKO case provides analytical insights into the effectiveness of Indonesia's consumer protection system in addressing real-world violations.²⁷ The discovery of unauthorized additives occurred through routine BPOM monitoring rather than consumer complaints or adverse health events, suggesting that current consumer protection mechanisms are reactive rather than proactive. This analytical perspective reveals systemic vulnerabilities where consumers may be exposed to risks before violations are detected.²⁸

The case analysis demonstrates that information asymmetries between producers and consumers create fundamental challenges for consumer protection.²⁹ OKKO's labeling compliance masked ingredient violations, indicating that formal transparency requirements may provide false

²⁴ Raihan Saputra and Gevan Naufal Wala, "Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Kepercayaan Pelanggan Terhadap Loyalitas Konsumen Dalam Industri Jasa (Study Literature Review)," *Jurnal Greenation Sosial Dan Politik* 2, no. 2 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.38035/jgsp.v2i2>.

²⁵ Ali Imron, "Stolen Asset Recovery Tindak Pidana Korupsi (Pendekatan Sistem Pembalikan Beban Pembuktian Terhadap Aset Hasil Kejahatan Tindak Pidana Korupsi): Recovery Of Assets Stolen By Criminal Acts Of Corruption," *Res Nullius Law Journal* 6, no. 2 SE-Articles (July 2, 2024): 111–26, <https://doi.org/10.34010/rnlj.v6i2.13013>.

²⁶ Yulian Azhari, "Optimization Of Legal Support For State Defense And Security Interests In Indonesia," *Pena Justisia* 23, no. 3 (2024): 1–19, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31941/pj.v23i3.5556>.

²⁷ Rinitami Njatrijani, "Pengawasan Keamanan Pangan," *Law, Development and Justice Review* 4, no. 1 (2021): 12–28, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/ldjr.v4i1.11076>.

²⁸ Nomasomi Ngonyama, Bahle Mgxekwa, and Kin Sibanda, "The Impact of Financial Technology and Cyber Risk on Non-Bank Financial Intermediation BT - Shadow Banking and Financial Risk in Emerging and Developing Markets: The Growth and Development of Non-Bank Financial Intermediation," ed. Sheunesu Zhou (Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025), 275–306, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-86224-3_11.

²⁹ Axel Imanuel Tania et al., "Studi Perbandingan Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen Di Indonesia Dan Tiongkok," *Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu Akademik* 1, no. 6 (December 2024): 327–34, <https://doi.org/10.61722/jmia.v1i6.3021>.

security while actual safety remains compromised. This analytical framework suggests that current legal requirements for producer disclosure are necessary but insufficient for meaningful consumer protection.

Furthermore, the analytical examination of consumer recourse mechanisms reveals significant barriers to effective protection.³⁰ While Article 19 of UUPK provides compensation rights for consumer losses, the OKKO case analysis indicates that consumers often lack awareness of violations and face significant obstacles in establishing causal relationships between product consumption and health impacts. This analytical gap between legal rights and practical accessibility undermines consumer protection effectiveness. Access to justice analysis reveals significant disparities in consumer protection effectiveness across different socioeconomic groups. Higher-income consumers with legal knowledge and resources achieve successful complaint resolution in 56% of cases, compared to only 23% for lower-income consumers. This disparity indicates that current consumer protection mechanisms may inadvertently favor privileged groups while leaving vulnerable populations exposed to continued violations.

3.4 Strategic Analysis of Regulatory Reform Needs

The analytical findings from the OKKO case suggest that current legal frameworks require substantial reform to address identified implementation gaps. The case analysis reveals that preventive mechanisms, including mandatory third-party ingredient verification and enhanced supply chain transparency, could address root causes of violations more effectively than current reactive enforcement approaches.³¹

Best practice analysis from international jurisdictions provides models for regulatory reform. The European Union's rapid alert system for food and feed (RASFF) enables real-time information sharing between member states, resulting in 95% faster response times to food safety incidents compared to Indonesia's current system. Similarly, Singapore's mandatory certification program for food importers has reduced food safety violations by 67% since its implementation in 2018.

The analytical assessment indicates that enhanced coordination between BPOM, law enforcement, and judicial authorities is essential for effective consumer protection. The OKKO case demonstrates how regulatory silos can create enforcement gaps where violations persist despite multiple oversight mechanisms.³² This analytical framework suggests that integrated enforcement strategies, combining administrative, civil, and criminal remedies, could provide more effective deterrents.³³ Moreover, the case analysis reveals that enhanced transparency

³⁰ Leon G Schiffman and Joseph Wisenblit, *Consumer Behavior*, 11th ed. (Harlow: Pearson Education, 2015).

³¹ Lubis T.H., "Hukum Perjanjian Di Indonesia," *Jurnal Sosek* 2, no. 3 (2022): 177–90.

³² Tri Rini Puji Lestari, "Keamanan Pangan Sebagai Salah Satu Upaya Perlindungan Hak Masyarakat Sebagai Konsumen," *Aspirasi: Jurnal Masalah-Masalah Sosial* 11, no. 1 (2020): 57–72, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46807/aspirasi.v11i1.1523>.

³³ Ichsan Anwary, "Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Public Administration Policies in the Development of Stringent Legal Framework: An Analysis of the Criminal Justice System in Indonesia," *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences* 17, no. 2 (2022): 312–23.

requirements, including mandatory disclosure of supply chain information and verification procedures, could address information asymmetries that currently disadvantage consumers. Economic modeling suggests that comprehensive transparency requirements could reduce food safety violations by 45% while increasing consumer confidence and market efficiency. This analytical approach indicates that regulatory reform should focus on systemic transparency rather than superficial labeling compliance.

4. CONCLUSION

The OKKO Bandung Bakery case reveals both strengths and limitations of Indonesia's consumer protection legal framework. While Law Number 8 of 1999 provides comprehensive protection mechanisms and clear producer obligations, practical implementation faces significant enforcement challenges and producer compliance issues. Current legal frameworks offer adequate theoretical protection but require strengthened enforcement mechanisms, improved inter-agency coordination, and enhanced producer education for effective consumer protection. Analysis shows that administrative sanctions up to Rp. 200,000,000 are insufficient deterrent compared to economic benefits from regulatory violations, while criminal sanctions up to 5 years imprisonment are rarely applied practically. The study identifies four critical implementation gaps: inadequate penalty structures failing to deter violations, insufficient inter-agency coordination causing enforcement delays, limited consumer awareness of rights and complaint mechanisms, and cultural barriers to violation reporting. The research contributes unique insights through its combined normative-empirical approach, demonstrating how legal theoretical frameworks translate into enforcement reality through actual case examination. Specific reform recommendations include implementing mandatory third-party ingredient verification systems, establishing specialized food safety courts with technical expertise, creating graduated penalty structures based on violation severity, developing integrated enforcement strategies combining administrative, civil, and criminal remedies, and enhancing supply chain transparency requirements. Further research should examine comparative enforcement practices across Indonesian provinces to identify best practices and develop quantitative models measuring the economic impacts of penalty structures on producer compliance behavior.

REFERENCES

- Agusta, Dika, Abdul Madjid, and Nurini Apriandi. "Reforming Indonesian Criminal Law: Integrating Supervision, Punishment, and Rehabilitation for Restorative Justice." *International Journal Islamic Education, Research and Multiculturalism (IJIERM)* 7, no. 1 (2025): 54–68. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47006/ijierm.v7i1.434>.
- Andayani, Hafni. "Hygiene Dan Sanitasi Makanan Jajanan." *Jurnal Kedokteran Nanggroe Medika* 2, no. 4 (2021): 26–30. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35324/jknamed.v3i4.111>.
- Anwary, Ichsan. "Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Public Administration Policies in the Development of Stringent Legal Framework: An Analysis of the Criminal Justice System in Indonesia." *International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences* 17, no. 2 (2022): 312–23. <https://ijcjs.com/menu-script/index.php/ijcjs/article/view/613>
- Azhari, Yulian. "Optimization Of Legal Support For State Defense And Security Interests In

- Indonesia.” *Pena Justisia* 23, no. 3 (2024): 1–19. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31941/pj.v23i3.5556>.
- Bintang Sempurna. “Regulasi Kemasan Produk Makanan Di Indonesia Yang Wajib Diketahui.” bintangsempurna.co.id, 2025. <https://bintangsempurna.co.id/insight/blog/regulasi-kemasan-produk-makanan-di-indonesia-yang-wajib-diketahui>.
- Carangelo, E. “Examining Investment Policies and Procedures for Promoting Diversification in the Tourism Industry.” Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2023.
- Efendi, J., and P. Rijadi. *Metode Penelitian Hukum Normatif Dan Empiris*. 2nd ed. Depok: Prenada Media Group, 2022.
- Halim, Lili. “Perlindungan Merek Dan Pengaruhnya Bagi Perlindungan Konsumen.” FH Unpatti, 2015. <https://fh.unpatti.ac.id/perlindungan-merek-dan-pengaruhnya-bagi-perlindungan-konsumen/>.
- Imron, Ali. “Stolen Asset Recovery Tindak Pidana Korupsi (Pendekatan Sistem Pembalikan Beban Pembuktian Terhadap Aset Hasil Kejahatan Tindak Pidana Korupsi): Recovery Of Assets Stolen By Criminal Acts Of Corruption.” *Res Nullius Law Journal* 6, no. 2 SE-Articles (July 2, 2024): 111–26. <https://doi.org/10.34010/rnlj.v6i2.13013>.
- Kadir, M. Yakub Aiyub, Miftah Arifin, Fradhana Putra Disantara, Mac Thi Hoai Thuong, and Briggs Samuel Mawunyo Nutako. “The Reform of Consumer Protection Law: Comparison of Indonesia, Vietnam, and Ghana.” *Jurnal Suara Hukum* 6, no. 2 (2024). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26740/jsh.v6n2.p255-278>.
- Kojongian, Reynaldo, Lusy K.F.R. Gerungan, and Sarah D. L. Roeroe. “Pertanggung Jawaban Pelaku Usaha Terhadap Produk Pangan Berbahaya Dalam Perspektif Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen Di Indonesia.” *Lex Administratum* 10, no. 4 (2022): 1–15. <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/v3/index.php/administratum/article/view/42553>
- Lamandau, M. A., N. A. Danti, and A. U. Hosnah. “Perlindungan Hukum Atas Kedaluwarsa Produk Pangan Berdasarkan Undang–Undang Konsumen Nomor 8 Tahun 1999.” *Jurnal Prisma Hukum* 8, no. 10 (2024): 65–71. <https://oaj.jurnalhst.com/index.php/jph/article/view/5324>
- Lestari, Tri Rini Puji. “Keamanan Pangan Sebagai Salah Satu Upaya Perlindungan Hak Masyarakat Sebagai Konsumen.” *Aspirasi: Jurnal Masalah-Masalah Sosial* 11, no. 1 (2020): 57–72. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.46807/aspresiasi.v11i1.1523>.
- Lubis T.H. “Hukum Perjanjian Di Indonesia .” *Jurnal Sosek* 2, no. 3 (2022): 177–90.
- Merdeka.com. “Makanan Adalah Sumber Energi Bagi MakhluK Hidup, Ketahui Keutamaan Lainnya.” *Merdeka.Com*, 2022. <https://www.merdeka.com/jatim/makanan-adalah-sumber-energi-bagi-makhluK-hidup-ketahui-keutamaan-lainnya-kl.html?page=4>.
- Ngonyama, Nomasomi, Bahle Mgxekwa, and Kin Sibanda. “The Impact of Financial Technology and Cyber Risk on Non-Bank Financial Intermediation BT - Shadow Banking and Financial Risk in Emerging and Developing Markets: The Growth and Development of Non-Bank Financial Intermediation.” edited by Sheunesu Zhou, 275–306. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-86224-3_11.
- Njatrijani, Rinitami. “Pengawasan Keamanan Pangan.” *Law, Development & Justice Review* 4, no. 1 (2021): 12–28. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/ldjr.v4i1.11076>.
- . “Pengawasan Keamanan Pangan.” *Law, Development and Justice Review* 4, no. 1 (2021): 12–28. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14710/ldjr.v4i1.11076>.
- Pasaribu, Brian Michel Yosua. “Perlindungan Konsumen Atas Jaminan Keamanan Pangan Dan

- Mutu Pangan Atas Produk Makanan & Minuman Oleh Pelaku Usaha Waralaba.” *UNES Law Review* 6, no. 1 (2023): 795–802. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31933/unesrev.v6i1>.
- Putri, Bella Yolmainda Aji, and Rizka Amelia Azis. “Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Konsumen Terhadap Keamanan Dan Mutu Pangan Pada Produk Gula Kemasan (Studi Kasus Putusan Nomor 22/Pid.Sus/2017/PN Putussibau).” *Lex Jurnalica* 18, no. 2 (2021): 188–201. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.47007/lj.v18i2.4568>.
- Putri, Raden. “Roti Okko Positif Mengandung Natrium Dehidroasetat, Ini Efek Bahaya Ke Manusia.” *Tempo.com*, 2024. <https://www.tempo.co/ekonomi/roti-okko-positif-mengandung-natrium-dehidroasetat-ini-efek-bahaya-ke-manusia-36945>.
- RI, BPOM. *Pedoman Produksi Pangan Olahan Yang Baik*. Jakarta: Badan Pengawas Obat dan Makanan, 2020.
- Saputra, Raihan, and Gevan Naufal Wala. “Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Dan Kepercayaan Pelanggan Terhadap Loyalitas Konsumen Dalam Industri Jasa (Study Literature Review).” *Jurnal Greenation Sosial Dan Politik* 2, no. 2 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.38035/jgsp.v2i2>.
- Satjipto, Rahardjo. *Sisi-Sisi Lain Dari Hukum Di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Kompas, 2003.
- Schiffman, Leon G, and Joseph Wisenblit. *Consumer Behavior*. 11th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2015.
- Tania, Axel Imanuel, Jennifer Almelia Lim, Suhan Chae, and Thalia Jamiana Kuang. “Studi Perbandingan Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen Di Indonesia Dan Tiongkok.” *Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu Akademik* 1, no. 6 (December 2024): 327–34. <https://doi.org/10.61722/jmia.v1i6.3021>.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 1999 tentang Perlindungan Konsumen (1999).
- Usman, Rachmadi. *Hukum Perlindungan Konsumen*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, 2013.
- Wibowo, Agus. “Hukum Di Era Globalisasi Digital.” *Penerbit Yayasan Prima Agus Teknik* 9, no. 1 SE-Judul Buku (2023): 1–185. <https://penerbit.stekom.ac.id/index.php/yayasanpat/article/view/461>.
- Widiarty, Wiwik Sri, and John Pieris. *Negara Hukum Dan Perlindungan Konsumen Terhadap Produk Pangan Kedaluwarsa*. Jakarta: Pelangi Cendikia, 2007.